

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS' P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/477,767 | 01/04/2000 | DANIEL EDWARD HOUSE | ST999131/149 | 9705 |
| 75 | 90 05/20/2004 | | EXAMI | NER |
| SAWYER & A | ASSOCIATES | | NALVEN, A | NDREW L |
| P O BOX 51418 | 3 | • | | |
| PALO ALTO, (| CA 94303 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 2134 | 1.6 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \mathcal{M} | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 09/477,767 | HOUSE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Andrew L Nalven | 2134 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence addre | 9SS | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 | March 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th | a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | vance except for formal ma | atters, prosecution as to the me | erits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 15-18</u> is/are pending | in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | rawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l | Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO- | 152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | • | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr | • | en received in this National Sta | ige | | | |
| application from the International Bure | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | st of the certified copies no | ot received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | v Summary (PTO-413) | • | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | | o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15: | 2) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | - ; | | | |

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-18 are pending.
- 2. Amendment submitted 2 March 2004 has been received and considered.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 1-8, 10-13, 15-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,732,172. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentable distinction from the cited patent.

Art Unit: 2134

patentably distinct from each other because they teach the same limitations using different language. The present application provides the limitation where "the second computer system services the request as if invoked by the second system specified user identification." The cited application provides the same limitation, but worded as "the first computer system can access the second computer system with authorities of the second Internet user identity." Further, the present application refers to users as "system specified user identifications" while the cited application refers to users as "Internet User Identities." The differing language in the application does not provide

Claim Objections

5. Applicant is advised that should claim 11 be found allowable, claim 16 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L Nalven whose telephone number is 703 305 8407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703 308 4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Avt Un H 2137